

ld@com.dtu.dk

What is the comment of the mean of the comment of the comment of the second sec

- Extension to current SDH/SONET network with LCAS, ASON, GMPLS, GFP, etc. ?
- Bitrate and protocol transparent optical datapath with electrical control and management ?
- All-optical network with optical control, information processing and routing ?

Com

Why do we need the next generation optical network ?

- for cost reduction reasons (cost reduction potential seems larger for optics than for electronics)
- to increase network efficiency and utilisation
- for resource savings preserving network reliability and availability
- for better network control for fast and efficient configuration of connections (reduction of manual interventions)
- to increase network flexibility and responsiveness to dynamic traffic demands/changes
- because an optical network is in line with a simplified core structure with more complex and intelligent flow handling at the edges (which was the original idea of the MPLS concept)



Technological challenges *possible optical connection types*



ld@com.dtu.dk



Technological challenges packets vs. circuits



ld@com.dtu.dk



Why packet switching

- Primarily a traffic engineering tool!
- Seen as the final goal for network flexibility, however must be justified
- Packet based operation at application level and transport level should **not be mixed** up!
- Potential new methods for network resilience in packet based networks (path set-up without resource reservation)

Optical Burst and label Switching potential step towards optical transport plane







- A European research project
 - Financially supported by the EU commission
 - IST program
- Goals
 - Develop concepts and technologies for future, optical networks
 - Traffic engineering in packet-over-WDM based networks
 - Control systems for optical networks
- Timeline
 - Start July 2000, end October 2003

DAVID Project partners



- Companies
 - Alcatel, CIT (F)
 - Alcatel, SEL (D)
- Network operators
 - BT (UK)
 - TELENOR (N)
 - TELEFONICA (E)
- Research centers
 - IMEC (B)
 - COM (DK)

- Universities
 - NTUA (G)
 - University of Bologna (I)
 - Politechnica de Torino (I)
 - LRI (F)
 - INT (F)
 - University of Essex (UK)
 - UPC (E)



ld@com.dtu.dk



Overall architecture





Hierarchical MPLS concept

- An MPLS based architecture for mixed-technology networks
- Traffic optimized/conditioned between levels
- Levels of various granularity ullet

Level	Bandwidth granularity
Electrical MPLS	Packets
Optical MPLS	Larger packets
Wavelength	Wavelengths
routed	

+ wavelength bands, fibers

The daved optical packet MAN

om

OPADM

Hub

OPADM

•

 λ_0

- Topology interconnected physical DWDM rings
- Each physical ring -> several logical rings
- Ring nodes OPADMs provide
 - Ring connectivity
 - Legacy network interfaces
- Inter ring traffic controlled by a *hub*





The metro part: MAN

- Hub functionality:
 - each timeunit Hub switches traffic between rings
 - permutations to switch multislots between (logical) rings
 - permutations to use are based on measurements of "demand"





Packet formats



For synchronisation reasons fixed size packets (at transport level) is preferable for small units (nano-micro sec). Variable service units handled by sequence of fixed size packets.

Ring node evolutionary steps (cost vs. flexibility)





Administrative challanges ASON vs. GMPLS/MPλS

- Apply the dynamic configuration of service layers to the transport layer(s)
- Integrated control of layers in the network
- Optimised use of the individual layers
- Standard proposals from: IETF, ITU-T, OIF
- Protocol centric solutions (IETF) vs. architectural centric solutions (ITU-T)
- Multi-layer resilience concepts



Administrative challanges *IETF* : *MPLS/MPλS*



Technology hierarchy

ld@com.dtu.dk

When will the next generation of composition photonic networks become a reality

- Significant effort needed to lower the cost and enable OAM functions of optical components (higher integration and automatic packaging)
- Better understanding of traffic and performance issues in core and metro networks needed to evaluate cost and reliability issues in current proposals.
- Gain consensus on administrative concepts and standard.
- Optical networks must become digital 3R in all elements as first process

When will the next generation of composition photonic networks become a reality

- Dynamic administration of pseudo optical networks (SONET/SDH) in 2-4 years.
- All-optical networks functions in the data plane obtainable in 5-10 years
- All-optical operation in all layers is not realistic with current know technology (and might never be)





IST DAVID info @ <u>david.com.dtu.dk</u>

Public demo in October in relation to

PS'2003 (photonics in switching) in Paris

and (NGPN deliverables @ <u>www.ngni-core.net</u>)

ld@com.dtu.dk

