

Digital libraries - creating information space excellence: is it already time for benchmarking?

Radovan Vrana, M.Sc.

Department for information sciences

Faculty of philosophy Zagreb

rvrana@ffzg.hr

Introduction

- Development of digital libraries - not a flawless job
- Need for evaluation of information objects and services in digital libraries
- Lack of standard metrics across systems
- Incompatible digital resources

Benchmarks

- Standards, measures, controlling devices for development of products or services
 - necessary for creation of quality products and services
- Standards, measures for evaluation of existing products or services
 - improvement in quality of existing products and services

Framework for the creation of evaluation tools for digital libraries

- Conceptual differences to the approach of development processes of resources and services:
 - in traditional and modern automated libraries
 - in contrast to the development process of
 - digital resources and services in digital libraries

Modern libraries

- Fixed, permanent, formatted text collections
- Static library facilities with fixed stacks
- Uniform sources, citations, references
- Services provided to individual readers
- Standard reference services
- Professionally provided services
- Locally owned permanent collections
- Centralized collections and services

- Hierarchical organizational structures
- Discipline specialization

- Generic user service offerings
- Formal publication acquisition

Postmodern libraries

- Fluid and transient multimedia resources
- Free, flexible and virtual information space
- Customized annotations/transient works
- Tailored services to collaborative teams
- Personal consulting and analysis
- Integrated service provision
- Holistic, integrated networked systems
- Distributed, decentralized global access
- Participative and collegial relationships
- Inter-, multi-, cross-disciplinary studies
- User-/use-specific relevant services
- Integration of informal with formal

DL Collections

- Collections - heart of libraries of all types
 - digital collections - core or hub of all activities in digital libraries
 - many projects in last 10 years, different approaches to collection development (use of benchmarks?)
- Tefko Saracevic:
 - too early in the development of digital collections to establish formal evaluation efforts
 - focus on details and distract attention from what can be actually measured as a part of the bigger picture in the process of development of digital libraries

DL Collections - continued

- Abby Smith:
 - too early for many libraries to have long-term considerations about digitization
 - no clear vision of purpose such projects will ultimately serve
 - period of experiments and building skills
- Consequence: difficult to expect that digital libraries will soon have standardized evaluation tools (metrics)
- Benchmarks for coll. develop. exist

DL Services

- New and more personalized services based on user profiles and precise user needs
 - (e.g. digitization on demand as a new service for material explicitly requested by users)
- Hybrid libraries:
 - offer both paper-based material as well as digital material with support of information technology
 - old and new services; old - constantly evaluated (ISO standards for lib. performance); new - ?

DL Services - evaluation

- Saracevic & Kantor: clarification of the value of library and information services:
 1. identification of attributes or dimensions of value; they should be organized in some rational structure
 2. development of procedures for appraising value according to each of these dimensions
 3. collecting and analysis of data following the dimensions and procedures identified in steps 1 and 2

DL Services evaluation - how to

Multi featured viewpoint on measurement of electronic services:

1. Transaction-based measures:

- counting of interactive sessions, downloads, hits, patrons, domain and host addresses, images, and files and recording them and measuring by sampling or by transaction logs

2. Time-based measures:

- measuring service hours, session length/duration, system/server peak level

DL Services evaluation - how to

3. Cost-based measures:

- reports about cost/expenditure for telecommunications/bandwidth, hardware equipment, staff, training, maintenance, site licenses

4. Use-based measures:

- measuring user activities, anticipated demand, simultaneous users, group use, hits/patron, user satisfaction, local or remote/off-site use.

Benchmarks

(for collection development)

- Benchmarks:
 - standards, especially resolution standards, necessary to meet requirements for authenticity, long-term value of surrogate copies, and their usability
- Application:
 - digital masters
 - access files for daily use
 - thumbnails

Benchmarks - experiences

- Automated Internet service:

Input parameters:	Results:
Image Width = 9.2 cm Image Height = 13.5 cm Quality Index = 8 (high) Smallest Significant Character = 2 mm Bit Depth = 8-bit	Recommended Resolution = 153 dpi Approximate File Size = 0.421 Mb Horizontal Pixel Dimension = 805 Pixels Vertical Pixel Dimension = 548 Pixels

Benchmarks - experiences

- Ready made benchmarks (projects):

Image type	Printed text
Master	Scan Type: Bitonal Resolution: 600 DPI Format: Uncompressed TIFF
Access	Type: 8-bit grayscale/24-bit color Format: JPEG Compression: MediumSpatial Resolution: Resize to 1024 x 768 Pixels
Thumbnail	4-bit grayscale/8-bit color Format: GIF Spatial Resolution: Resize to 150-200 pixels across the long dimension 72 DPI

MALI RJEČNIK

HRVATSKOGA I NJEMAČKOGA JEZIKA

OD

IVANA FILIPOVIĆA,

I. NJEMAČKO-HRVATSKI DIO.

Šesto, popunjeno i znatno popravljeno izdanje novim hrvatskim pravopisom.

1911.

TISAK I NAKLADA KJIŽARE LAV. HARTMANA (Stj. Kugli) ZAGREB

MALI RJEČNIK

HRVATSKOGA I NJEMAČKOGA JEZIKA

OD

IVANA FILIPOVIĆA.

I. NJEMAČKO-HRVATSKI DIO.

Šesto, popunjeno i znatno popravljeno izdanje novim hrvatskim pravopisom.

1911.

TISAK I NAKLADA KJIŽARE LAV. HARTMANA (Stj. Kugli) ZAGREB

Conclusion

- Diversity of digital collections:
 - problems for users who will try to use them
 - problems for information specialists who will want to evaluate them.
- Is it already time for benchmarking?
 - collection development, the answer is a definitive yes
 - prerequisite for services evaluation
 - services - many proposals; solid theoretical grounds but not yet